

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,043	01/22/2001	Ronald J. Lebel	USP-1076-D	2665
75	590 09/05/2003			
Ted R. Rittmaster Foley and Lardner 2029 Century Park East			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
Los Angeles, C	A 90067-3021		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3742	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003) [

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			-1M			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Comments	09/768,043	LEBEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel I. Robinson	3742	···			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimu ill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corporate ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 J</u>	anuary 2001 .					
,—	s action is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for form	al matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under language Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration	on.				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		o by the Everniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	d.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application	has been received.	,,			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	o priority under 35 t	7.0.0. 33 120 and/or 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(stice of Informal Patent Application (PTC				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	· —		J-102)			

Application/Control Number: 09/768,043

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A a medical system with telemetry components wherein a message sent uses one of a predefined plurality of preambles.

Species B a medical system with telemetry components wherein the telemetry system has a selected level of fault tolerance in concluding that a selected portion of a message matches an expected pattern.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/768,043

Art Unit: 3742

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Ted Rittmaster on 9-5-2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Robinson whose telephone number is 703 306-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sang Paik can be reached on 703 308-1147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0861.

DANIEL ROBINSON PATENT EXAMINER